1 2	Francis O. Scarpulla (41059) ZELLE HOFMANN VOELBEL & MASON LLP 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 3400				
3	San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 693-0700 Facsimile: (415) 693-0770				
4	fscarpulla@zelle.com				
5	Joseph M. Alioto THE ALIOTO LAW FIRM				
6	555 California Street, 31st Floor San Francisco, CA 94104				
7 8	Telephone: (415) 434-8900 Facsimile: (415) 434-9200 sexton@aliotolaw.com				
9	Co-Lead Class Counsel for Indirect-Purchaser				
10	Plaintiffs				
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
13	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION				
14					
15	IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST LITIGATION	No. 3:07-md-1827 MDL No. 1827			
16 17	This Document Relates To:	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING AMENDMENT TO INDIRECT-			
18 19	ALL INDIRECT-PURCHASER ACTIONS	PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS' SECOND CONSOLIDATED AMENDED COMPLAINT			
20					
21		Judge: Honorable Susan Y. Illston Crtrm: 10, 19 th Floor			
22		Ciuiii. 10, 19 Fi00i			
23					
24	TO THE COURT AND ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:				
25	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties, INDIRECT PURCHASER				
26	PLAINTIFFS, and EPSON IMAGING DEVICES CORPORATION (collectively "the Parties")				
27	and their respective counsel, and subject to the approval of the Court, that Indirect-Purchaser				
28	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE AMENDMENT TO INDIRECT-PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS' SECOND CONSOLIDATED AMENDED COMPLAINT CASE NO. 3:07-MD-1827				

- 1					
1	Plaintiffs' Second Consolidated Amended Complaint ("Complaint"), filed December 5, 2008				
2	(Dkt. No. 746), be deemed amended as follows.				
3	1. New Paragraph 95A shall state: "Defendant Epson Imaging Devices Corporation				
4	("EID") is a Japanese Company with its principal place of business in Tottori, Japan. EID was				
5	originally formed as Sanyo Epson Imaging Devices Corporation on October 1, 2004 as a joint				
6	venture co-owned by Seiko Epson Corporation and Sanyo Electric Co., Ltd. As of December 28.				
7	2006, Sanyo Epson Imaging Devices Corporation became a wholly-owned subsidiary of Seiko				
8	Epson Corporation and changed its name to EID. During the Class Period EID manufactured,				
9	sold and/or distributed LCD panels to customers throughout the United States."				
10	2. Paragraph 98 is amended to delete the reference to "Epson Imaging Devices				
11	Corporation."				
12	3. All references to "defendants" in the Complaint, except as the term is used to				
13	describe a specifically-named defendant or defendants other than EID, shall be deemed to include				
14	EID.				
15					
16	Dated: June 21, 2010 FRANCIS O. SCARPULLA				
17	ZELLE HOFMANN VOELBEL & MASON LLP				
18	JOSEPH M. ALIOTO THE ALIOTO LAW FIRM				
19	THE ALIOTO LAW PIKWI				
20	By: /s/ Francis O. Scarpulla				
21	By: <u>/s/ Francis O. Scarpulla</u> Francis O. Scarpulla				
22	Co-Lead Class Counsel for Indirect-				
23	Purchaser Plaintiffs				
24					
25					
26					
27					
28	CHINAL ATION AND [Dropoged] Opped by AMENDAGATE TO INDIDECT DURGULGED DE AMENDAGA				

Case 3:07-md-01827-SI Document 1815 Filed 06/22/10 Page 3 of 4

1 2	Dated: June 21, 2010	MELVIN R. GOLDMAN STEPHEN P. FRECCERO DEREK F. FORAN MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP			
3					
4					
5		By: _	/s/ Stephen P. Freccero Stephen P. Freccero		
6			Attorneys for Counsel for Defendants		
7			Seiko Epson Corporation; Epson Imaging Devices Corporation; Epson		
8			Electronics America, Inc.		
9					
10	Attestation: Pursuant to General Order No. 45, the filer attests that concurrence in the filing of				
11	this document has been obtained from each of	of the s	signatories.		
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE AMENDMEN	NT TO IN	IDIRECT-PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS' SECOND		
	II J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J	'			

1	[PROPOSED] ORDER
2	Pursuant to the stipulation of the parties, IT IS SO ORDERED.
3	Suaa. Materi
4	Dated: HONORABLE SUSAN ILLSTON
5	United States District Judge
6	
7	
8	
9	
.0	
.1	
.2	
.3	
.4	
.5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE AMENDMENT TO INDIRECT-PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS' SECOND

4

CONSOLIDATED AMENDED COMPLAINT

CASE No. 3:07-MD-1827